

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,369		02/04/2000 Victor H. Shear		07451.0010-01000	8725
22852	7590	05/30/2002			
	,	DERSON, FARAI	EXAMINER		
	REET, NW		DIXON, THOMAS A		
WASHING	GTON, DC	20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3629	
				DATE MAILED: 05/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1-1-1	
\sim	
<u>2, </u>	
	ı
••	1
	1
_ ·	ı
	ı
address	1
	١
	١
	١
	١
	ł
timely.	1
nis communication.	۱
•	ı
	l
	I
- Ala	
o the merits is	
	-
	ł
	ı
	١
	l
	l
	ĺ
	ı
	١
	ĺ
	l
	ĺ
ier.	
(a).	
miner.	l
	l
	l
	l
	l
nal Stage	
g -	
nal application).	ľ
-	
	ı

		U				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Author Commence	09/498,369	SHEAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas A. Dixon	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 €	March 2002					
<u> </u>	****					
<i>,</i>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 136-185 is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>151-154</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1,136-150 and 156-185</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election require	ement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2000 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.8	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Potent and Trademad, Office	· — ·					

Application/Control Number: 09/498,369 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

(MPEP § 818.03(a)).

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of groups V and VI in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.
 Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse

2. Further, examiner thanks applicant for pointing out that invention VI is a method and not a system claim as examiner had stated in item 5 of the election restriction requirement, upon reconsideration of the claims, it is seen that the claims of group IV are to usage monitoring, similar to group IV and would, in fact, be classified in class 705, subclass 52 and will not be examined.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application

Application/Control Number: 09/498,369

Art Unit: 3629

being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 151- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Erickson (5,765,152).

As per Claim 151.

Erickson ('152) discloses:

creating a secure container, see column 4, line 61 – column 5, line 4; associating a first rule with the secure container, the rule at least in part governing use of at least some of the secure container contents, the first rule at least in part specifying potential users or recipients of the secure container contents, the specification being based at least in part on a class to which the potential users or recipients have been assigned, see figure 7D;

sending the secure container to a first remote party, see figure 1, (10); the first remote party embedding a content object in the secure container, see column 9, lines 7-23;

sending the secure container, including the embedded content object, to a second remote party, the second remote party consisting of a potential user, see figure 1 (10);

evaluating a digital certificate associated with the potential user, see column 24, lines 45-52;

determining, based at least in part on the digital certificate, that the potential user has been assigned to a class, see column 24, lines 47-52;

based on the determination, and at least in part under control of the first rule, making at least some of the secure container contents available to the potential user, see column 24, lines 53-56.

As per Claim 152.

Erickson ('152) discloses all the limitations of claim 151.

Erickson ('152) further discloses:

the first remote party associating a second rule with the secure container, the second rule at least in part governing use of at least a portion of the secure container contents, see column 22, line 30 – column 23, line 33, column 26, lines18-31 and figure 5, (server issues auxiliary permissions for licensed use)

the step of making at least some of the secure container contents available to the potential user occurring at least in part under control of the second rule, see column 24, lines 39-57 and column 26, lines 18-31.

Application/Control Number: 09/498,369

Art Unit: 3629

As per Claim 153.

Erickson ('152) discloses all the limitations of claim 151.

Erickson ('152) further discloses:

assigning the potential user to the class, the assignment being based at least in part on the potential user's use of the content, see figure 7D.

As per Claim 154.

Erickson ('152) discloses all the limitations of claim 153.

Erickson ('152) further discloses:

the class is based at least in part based on demographic information, see column 21, lines 41-49.

As per Claim 155.

Erickson ('152) discloses all the limitations of claim 154.

Erickson ('152) further discloses:

following the potential user's access to the secure contents, generating an audit trail to a remote site, see column 22, lines 36-47.

Prior Art Made of Record

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior art patents listed on page on page 1 of the 892 were considered in the original case 08/965,185, but were not presented in the IDS submitted.

EP 0 398 645 to Fabbio is the closest European reference and teaches releasing objects to authorized classes of users based on authorization rules, but does not disclose all the limitations of the claims.

Securing the Content, Not the Wire, for Information Commerce, by Sibert et al is the closest Non-Patent Literature and teaches the concept of enclosing copyrighted works in secure digital containers for distribution in an e-commerce system.

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 3629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (703) 305-4645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7238 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Thomas A. Dixon Examiner Art Unit 3629

May 21, 2002